

Lafayette Oct 8th 1861

Friend Bush

Your petit note, dateless & almost nameless, apologising for the omission of the notice of Miss M--, was reced via Portland yesterday. It is accepted, as the subsequent no of the Statesman had reached here a week previous. I have been a good deal disappointed in not hearing from you at length in reply to my last. I enclose another letter from "Uncle Ned", in the absence of any opinion from you as to the fitness of them for your column, and you must act accordingly. An arbitration came of here on Wednesday last, between T. Carter & A. I. Hembree, before Mesmith Gen Clark and Palmer. The latter were the plaintiffs, and charged the defendants with fraud which they most triumphantly established and obtained a verdict of one thousand dollars and costs. Tilford was counsel for the defendants, and myself for the plaintiffs. A history of the affair was sent this morning to the Oregonian on the part of some of the friends of the plaintiffs for publication. This is confidential. I hope Dryer will publish it. I would have noticed it U- N.-, but I dont want you to be mixed up in the matter. It will forever blast the reputation of the plaintiffs for honesty in this region,- especially old Tom Carter. If Dryer publishes Mar com. and they want to answer, dont have anything to do with it M. & C. are democrats. Hembree is neutral and Carter a bitter old federal, and a d--d--. The Statesman is due here today, but I dont know when we will get it, as I understand the boat is not running. Did I send you the name of James Graves some time back as a subscriber, if not consider it sent now. I see you published that communication on the location question signed "Lafayette." I thought the reasons were good, but uttered the sentiments with some grains of doubt. It would be the better policy, as the court will decide the statute invalid, and its friends

could not then complain of irregularity. I made Pratt come out square against the statute when he was here in whole and in part. I was determined to accept none of his d---d pro tanto decisions on the subject.

Write a long letter soon. What says Lovejoy.

Deady

Dush

La Jolla Oct 8th 1851

Friend Bush,

Your petit note, dated & almost nameless, apologizing for the omission of the notice of Miss M-, was recd via Portland yesterday. It is accepted, as the subsequent No. of the States man had reached here a week previous. I have been a good deal disappointed in not hearing from you at length in reply to my last. I enclose another letter from "Wm. A. A." in the absence of any opinion from you as to the fitness of them for your column, and you must act accordingly. An arbitration came of here on Wednesday last, between J. Carter & A. J. Hornum, before Mr. Smith, Kirk and Palmer. The latter were the plaintiffs, and charged the defendant with fraud which they most triumphantly established and obtained a verdict of one thousand dollars and costs. Telford was counsel for the defendant, and myself for the plaintiffs. A history of the affair was sent this morning to the Oregonian on the part of some of the friends of the plaintiffs for publication. This is confidential. I hope Duyer will publish it. I would have noticed it W. A. A., but I don't want you to be mixed up in the matter. It will favor

blast the reputation of the plaintiffs for honesty
in this region, - especially old Tom Carter.

If Dyer publishes that Com. and they want
to answer, don't have anything to do with it
M. & B. are democrats, Worcester is neutral,
and Carter a bitter old federal, and a
d-d - - -

The Statesman is due here to
day, but I don't know when we will get
it, as I understand the boat is not running

Did I send you the name of James Graves.

Some time back as a subscriber, if not
considered it sent now. I see you published

that communication on the location question
signed "Lafayette." I thought the reasons were
good, but uttered the sentiments with
some grains of doubt. It would be the better

policy, as the Court will decide the statute
invalid, and its friends could not then

complain of irregularity. I made Pratt
come out square against the statute when

he was here in whole and in part. I was
determined to accept none of his d-d
per lants decisions on the subject.

Write a long letter soon. What
says So. enjoys

Rush