

(1st page missing)

Page 2

at large because it is not involved in the controversy. The Supreme Court were simply dealing with a Territory; and I speak of the decision as I find it, to such hypothetical case as that presumed by the Senator from Ohio has arisen, or probably ever will arise; but if it does, and the Supreme Court think proper to decide it, they will doubtless give sound reasons for the decision one way or other. It is no business of mine to foreshadow what will be their decision, &c".

Now Mr. Editor I am a laboring man, I was born and raised in a Slave State and do know, the white laborer is depressed by the presence of slavery. I have a large family whom I expect like myself will live by the labor of their hands.

I came to Oregon at an early day, because I was told slavery was not, nor never would be, permitted there, and that fact more than any other influenced me in coming to this distant region. As there had sprung up a party here particularly after this Dred Scott Decision who threatened to flood the country with negroes. I yielded to what seemed a premature effort to form a State Government, expressly thereby to exclude slavery; preferring myself to bear the burdens of the state, to the wish that those of my blood who may live after me, should have to struggle in the presence of human bondage, - which a postponement of the state might involve. Happily Oregon is a free state. But it seems the attempt is above to be made to throw Oregon and all other free States, open to slavery. I am not a lawyer, nor able to answer for myself this question; Senator Brown refuses to answer. Will you answer it? Thousands of your Democratic readers, as well as myself are anxious for a satisfactory answer, not an evasion.

A.

at large, because it is not involved in the controversy. The Supreme Court were simply dealing with a Territory; and I speak of the decision as I find it, No such hypothetical case as that presumed by the Senator from Ohio has arisen, or probably ever will arise; but if it does, and the Supreme Court think proper to decide it, They will doubtless give sound reasons for the decision one way or other. It is no business of mine to foreshadow what will be their decision, &c."

Now Mr. Editor I am a laboring man, I was born and raised in a Slave State and do know, the white laborer is depressed by the presence of slavery. I have a large family whom I expect like myself ^{will} ~~to~~ live by the labor of their hands,

I came to Oregon at an early day, because I was told slavery was not, nor never would be, permitted there, and that fact more than any other influenced me in coming to this distant region. As there had sprung up a party here particularly after this Sred Scott Decision who threatened to flood the country with negroes. I yielded to what seemed a premature effort to form a State Government, expressly thereby to exclude slavery; imposing myself to bear the burdens of the state, to the risk ^{that} ~~of~~ those of my blood who ~~may~~ ^{should} live after me, ~~to~~ have to struggle in the presence of human bondage, - which a postponement of the state might involve. Happily Oregon is a free state. But it seems the attempt is about to be made to throw Oregon and all other free states, open to slavery. I am not a lawyer, nor able to answer for myself this question; Senator Brown refuses to answer. - Will you answer it? Thousands of your Democratic readers, as well as myself are anxious for a satisfactory answer, not an evasion.

A.