

Private

Locust Grove Umpqua Co Ogn
Feb. 2, 1860

Ed Statesman Enclosed I send you a comm on the subject of "Mails". When in Scottsburg on Sunday last Mr. Lord called my attention to the article in your paper of Jan. 10 and expressed a good deal of wonder at a disposition of the Statesman to pitch into the P.M. at Oakland, or to allow it to be done without good authority for it. I was sorry to see it as Mr. Lord at Scottsburg has been and is still a -----friend of the Statesman, and he assures me that his brother at Oakland (P.M.) is the same and has in a quiet and effective way done much for it and the cause. Such was my opinion of them before. Such things as they complain of have a tendency to sour them--and thereby cause them to undo that which months cannot heal--they are men of influence, and both post masters. They are men of sense and may look upon this matter in its proper light, yet I can infer from my conversation at Scottsburg with R. H. Lord that there was a disposition in the Statesman to complain of the Oakland office--something was said in the Statesman some time since about it but what I know not. I don't believe there is a man in this valley but he who wrote the Roseburg letter who would believe that either E. A. or R. A. Lord or their deputies-- the young Peters would delay any paper due other offices except when necessary to change the mail and only for that purpose. I do not know who wrote the Roseburg letter, but I do know that he is badly posted on the subject of mails and men. There has not been here for a long time any two opinions (as I can learn) of the cause of the delay in receipt of mail matter, but whether it is intentional or not I cannot say. Whether it is or not the evil should be corrected. The whole "case" I gave to a gentleman some time since who promised to make it known to you. I supposed he had. It was similar to the com- enclosed which I would like to see published for cause. By publishing it a good effect will be produced I think.

Your correspondent should have complained of the P.M. of Locust Grove instead of ---- according to his own shewing, because the week he saw the paper (Statesman) at Locust Grove it did not arrive at Oakland & so think many. Yet the Oakland and Roseburg packages the next week came to Oakland by Eugene City, not by way of Locust Grove. Had he complained of the P.M. of Locust Grove his fault would have been no less than it is.

I have not seen Mr. Lord of Oakland I merely saw his brother at Scottsburg and have taken pains to get at the facts. The "cause" must be at Corvallis.

Private

Yours truly
S.F. Chadwick

Private

Locust Grove Muskegon Co Wis
Feb. 2. 1860.

Edo Statesman. Enclosed I send you a copy on the subject of "mails." When in Scottsburg on Sunday last Mr. Lord called my attention to the article in your paper of Jan. 10. and expressed a good deal of wonder at a disposition of the Statesman to pitch into the P. M. at Oakland, or to allow it to be done without good authority for it. I was sorry to see it as ^{Mr. Lord} at Scottsburg has been and is still a friend of the Statesman, and he assures me that his brother at Oakland (P. M.) is the same and has in a quiet and effective way done much for it and the cause. Such was my opinion of them before. Such things as they complain of have a tendency to sour them - and thereby undo ~~one paper~~ that which mouths cannot heal - they are men of influence, and both post masters. They are men of sense and ^{may} look upon this matter in its proper light, yet I can infer from my conversation at Scottsburg with R. H. Lord that there was a disposition ^{in the Statesman} to complain of the Oakland office - something was said ^{in the Statesman} some time since ^{about it} but what I know not. I don't believe there is a man in this valley but he who wrote the Roseburg letter who would believe that either E. H. or R. H. Lord or their deputies - the Young Peters would delay any paper ^{due other offices} except when necessary to change the mail and only for that purpose. I do not know who wrote the Roseburg letter, but I do know that he is badly posted on the subject of mails and men. There has ^{no} been here for

a long time any two opinions (as I can learn) of the cause of the delay in receipt of mail matter, but whether it is intentional or not I cannot say. Whether it is or not the evil should be corrected. The whole case I gave to a gentleman some time since who promised to make it known to you. I supposed he had. It was similar to the copy enclosed which I would like to see published for cause. By publishing it a good effect will be produced I think. Your correspondent should have complained of the P. M. of Locust Grove instead of Lord according to his own showing, because the week he saw the paper ^(Statesman) at Locust Grove it did not arrive at Oakland to think many. Let the Oakland and Roseburg pack ages the next week come to Appleton by Eugene City, not by way of Locust Grove. Had he complained of the P. M. of Locust Grove his fault would have been no less than it is.

I have not seen Mr. Lord of Oakland I merely saw his brother at Scottsburg and have taken pains to get at the facts. The "cause" must be at Cornelia.

Private
Yours truly
J. P. Chadwick.