

DUTLER P. ANDERSON
Attorney-at-Law

Olympia W. T.,
March 6, 1860.

Dear Dush,

When I wrote you a few days ago Garfielde was absent from town. I had not talked to him freely, as you will have infered from my last letter, on the subject of W. T. politics since last summer a year ago. After reading and answering your letter and reflecting upon the subject I concluded to talk to G. fully freely & frankly. I did so & I'm glad of it. He satisfied me on the points upon which I perhaps did him injustice in my letter to you, and it gives me pleasure to be myself put right and now to amend what I have said to you.

First, I said that I feared G. was selfish -- inordinately selfish, -- so much so that he might follow the evil example of Stevens in building up a personal party. My reasons for thinking thus were that G. has frequently absented himself from his office while he has been Receiver, thus permitting the interests of Government and of claimants to suffer, when there was no other apparent excuse or reason for doing so except that he made more money by it. His salary was amply sufficient to pay any one man to attend promptly and faithfully to his duties. He had no right to hold on to that office, and neglect to perform its duties because he could make more by such neglect. According to my notions of right the man "head is not right" who will do so unless he has the best excuse for it. My talk with G. the other day satisfied me that he had an excuse which is at least a fair justification.

Second, I told you that his friends in the last Leg. came in & presented my election as Printer & intimated that they did so because they know I would edit an independent paper. In this I was mistaken at least as far as Garfieldes complicity in the work was concerned. He know nothing of it. Ourquandam friend Chenowith was the mover of that project. He influenced one or two men & accomplished my defeat.

So much then by way of explanation of my former letter. Now a word or two as to our future. Stevens & his faction will move heaven and earth to secure the succession -- if not to S. then to Miller, Strong McFadden or Tilton. They have the inns. Miller & Tilton are their financiers. Miller is a shrewd one -- devilishly shrewd -- and entirely unscrupulous. Tilton is not so sharp, but he has patronage which he will bestow cunningly under the counsel and advice of the whole faction. My plan is to meet and defeat them in primary. In order to do this I would prohibit all Indian agents, P. M.'s, Deputy U. S. Surveyors & such from representing any precinct in any convention. Our organic act prohibits all such from holding office under the Genl. government. Why shouldn't we keep them out of our conventions? If you think the doctrine will do teach it thro the Statesman. Whether you think this will do or not, give us a lift occasionally anyway, always exercising your greatest caution and prudence to prevent the appearance of foreign interference. I be lieve and most sincerely hope we will be able to send a sound democrat in '61. You will help us all you can I know.

You will see the Pioneer is out for Genl. Lane for President. The leader announcing that fact was written by Mr. Randall a young "Philadelphia lawyer" who came out here some twelve months ago with Rankin the late Register. Randall came out to be a clerk in the Registers office, where he has been since his arrival. Of course he is verduit. The Stevens faction have taken him under their wing and in all probability he will ere long mount the tripod in the pioneer office. That trader certainly entitles him to that distinguished position.

I will be in Portland about the 25th inst. Would be glad to meet you there.

My regards to friends.

Yours truly

Butler P Anderson

BUTLER P. ANDERSON,

Attorney-at-Law.

Olympia, W. T.

March 6, 1860.

Dear Bush,

When I wrote you a few days ago Garfunde was absent from town. I had not talked to him freely, as you will have inferred from my last letter, on the subject of W. T. politics since last seen over a year ago. After reading and answering your letter and reflecting upon the subject I concluded to talk to G. fully freely & frankly. I did so & I'm glad of it. He said just one or two points upon which I perhaps did him injustice in my letter to you and it gives me pleasure to be myself put right and how to amend what I have said to you.

First. I said that I feared G. was selfish-inordinately selfish. So much so that he might follow the evil example of Stearns in building up a personal party. My reasons for thinking thus were that G. has frequently absented himself from his office while he has been Receiver, thus permitting the interests of Government and of Claimants to suffer, when there was no other apparent excuse or reason for doing so except that he made more money by it. His salary was amply sufficient to pay any one man to attend promptly and faithfully to his duties. He had no right to hold on to that office and neglect to perform

its duties because he could make more by such
neglect. According to my notions of right the man
"head is not right" who will do so unless he has the
best excuse for it. My talk with G. the other day
satisfied me that he had an excuse which is at
least a fair justification.

Second. I told you that his friend in the late Sep.
came in & presented my election as Printer & inti-
mated that they did so because they knew I
would edit an independent paper. In this
I was mistaken at least as far as Corfield's
complicity in the work was concerned. He knew
nothing of it. Our Quaker friend Cheswith was
the mover of that project. He influenced one or
two men & accomplished my defeat.

So much then by way of explanation of my
former letter - now a word or two as to our future.
Stevens & his faction will move heaven and earth to
secure the succession - if not to S. then to Miller, Strong
McHadden or Litten. They have the im^s. Miller & Litten
are their financiers. Miller is a shrewd one - divid-
ish shrewd - and entirely unscrupulous. Litten is
not so shrewd, but he has patronage which he will
bestow cunningly under the counsel and advice
of the whole faction. My plan is to meet and de-
feat them in primary Conventions. In order to do
this I would prohibit all Indian Agents, P.M.'s Deputy
M. S. Surveysors & such from representing any par-

over in our Convention. Don suppose we'll prohibit
it all except from holding office under the State gov-
ernment. My thought we keep them out of our
Conventions. If you think the doctrine will do
I think it best, the State man - whether you think
this will do or not, give us a list accordingly
any way, allowing every man separate entrance
with reference to prevent the appearance of the
same interest. I think and will know, hope we
will keep as all you can of them.

You will see the reason is not for you,
I am for Garrison. The latter concerning that
fact was written by Mr. Randall or George "White-
dressing" Sawyer. He came out here some weeks
months ago with Parker the late Register. You
all saw that he had been since his arrival of
Garrison in no respect. The former faction have
taken him under their wing and in all pro-
bability he will see long through the paper
in the printer's office. There have certainly
taken him to that disreputable position. It will
I will be in Portland about the 25th or 26th will
I will be glad to meet you there -
My regards to friends
Boston & elsewhere