

Portland Jan 10th 1863

Dear Bush

Got home from the Dalles last night. That puff of Judge D in the H. cost the undersigned nothing except a little mortification, and an exclamation of "God save me from my friends." I told Kelly that the first time I saw Bush, he would ask me what size G B. I gave for it. And now the mail is in with another instalment of the code, I find the paragraph enclosed with the question of "How much" underwritten. I may be thankful I suppose that you did not put it in the Bush colum,- "Domestic items." The Rector Gold & Paper imbroglio is waxing warmer. I tried to hold the mountaineer in until he knew something, but he insisted that the uncharitable inference was legitimate, and said that they (Republicans) never waited for proof positive to charge rascality upon a Dem.

If Rector has credited the Govt with the difference, he is all right, if not he is all wrong. I hope the former may be true. But I begin to have my doubts about it because I do not think that he has been perfectly ingenuous in his explanation of it. When he says that it was collected through Ladd & Filton and that he knew not in what they collected, I have good reason to believe that he did not tell the whole truth.

In the last line of Sec 388 ot occurs for not. In Sec 412, Such decree, should be a continuation of the preceding sentence, and not the commencement of a new one. In Sub. 2 of Sec 413 3d line to should be inserted between as and the.

You seem to have imbibed a préjudice against Jo Watt. You should remember that he was reared poorly and hard, and never had the benefit of gentlemanly associations until latter life.

About six weeks ago I was told of some dirty stuff he was getting off

about myself, just after he had spent the day round my stove, and smoked my pipe & tobacco.

Yours

Deady

Portland Jan 10<sup>th</sup> 1863

Dear Bush,

Got home from the Dances last night. That puff of Judge D in the Mo. cont the undersigned nothing except a little mortification, and an exclamation of "God save me from my friends." I told Kelly that the first time I saw Bush, he would ask me what size G.B. I gave for it. And now the mail is in with another instalment of the Code, I find the paragraph enclosed with the question of "How much" underwritten. I may be thankful I suppose that you did not put it in the Bush column, - "Domestic items." The Rector Gold & Paper imbroglis is waxing warmer, I tried to hold the Mountaineer in until he knew something, but he insisted that the uncharitable inference was legitimate, and said that they (Republicans) never waited for proof positive to charge rascality upon a Dem.

If Rector has credited the Govt with the difference, he is all right, if not he is all wrong. I hope the former may be true.

But I begin to have my doubts about it because I do not think that he has <sup>been</sup> perfectly ingenuous in his explanation of it. When he says that it was collected through Ludd & Tilton and that he knew not in what they collected, I have good reason to believe that he did not tell the whole truth.

In the last line of Sec 388 it occurs for not in Sec 412, such deceit, should be a continuation of the preceding sentence, and not the commencement of a new one. In Sub. 2 of Sec 413. 3<sup>d</sup> line to should be inserted between as and the

You seem to have imbibed a prejudice against Mr. Matt. You should remember that he was reared poorly and hard, and never had the benefit of gentlemanly associations until latter life, <sup>1840</sup>

About six weeks <sup>ago</sup> I was told of some dirty stuff he was getting off about myself, just after he had spent the day round my stone, and smoked my pipe & tobacco.

Yours Deady